

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,691	10/798,691 03/11/2004		Doug A. Kaufmann	700725-1003	6201
38406	7590	09/21/2006	EXAMINER		
MICHAEL 5949 SHERF		•	ZHANG, N	ZHANG, NANCY L	
DALLAS, T		50112 020		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1614	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Asticus Occurrence	10/798,691	KAUFMANN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nancy L. Zhang	1614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 March 2004.						
· _ · ·							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	/						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-124</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
b)							
· ·							
<u> </u>	<u> </u>						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-124</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)	_						
1)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- (A) A method of treatment comprises administering to a mammal a formulation of an anti-fungal agent as listed in claim 2 and there is no additional step needed. The applicant is required to specify one single anti-fungal agent from the list.
- (B) A method of treatment comprises administering to a mammal a formulation of an anti-fungal agent as listed in claim 17 and there is no additional step needed. The applicant is required to specify one single anti-fungal agent from the list.
- (C) A method of treatment comprises administering to a mammal a formulation of an anti-fungal agent as listed in claim 2 and additionally administering a low carbohydrate diet. The applicant is required to specify one single anti-fungal agent from the list.
- (D) A method of treatment comprises administering to a mammal a formulation of an anti-fungal agent as listed in claim 17 and additionally administering a low carbohydrate diet. The applicant is required to specify one single anti-fungal agent from the list.

If the additional step of administering a low carbohydrate diet is elected for the method, the applicant is further required to specify one single type of low carbohydrate diet from the list in claims 16.

Art Unit: 1614

The species are independent or distinct because the antifungal agents used in the method of treatment are different. Different antifungal agents are different chemicals having different structures and biological effects. Different types of low carbohydrate diet are digested differently in a mammal's system and therefore have different results when used in conjunction with the antifungal medication for treating the claimed disease conditions. The presence or absence of using a specific diet in the treatments of the claimed conditions would result in different treatment with different

In addition, further species election is required as follows to specify the mammal of the treatment:

amount of antifungal medication needed and different duration of treatment.

- (a) a mammal having diabetes
- (b) a mammal having diabetes-indicating symptoms
- (c) a mammal having multiple sclerosis
- (d) a mammal having multiple sclerosis-indicating symptoms

The species are independent or distinct because these mammals have different diseases. Different diseases have different causative factors and their own unique pathology and thus the method of treating different diseases would be different.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, all claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Art Unit: 1614

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nancy L. Zhang whose telephone number is (571)-272-8270. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.- Fri. 8:30am - 5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571)-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1614

Adn V. Marsel 9/16/06

Page 6

neny 9/8/06

NLZ

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER